Large scale proteins turnover measurement to investigate the impact of maize genetic variability on proteostasis.

KEYWORDS:

Plant, proteomics, synthesis, degradation, metabolic labelling, nitrogen 15 stable isotopes, biocomputing, modelling, genotype, system biology, algorithm

PROCEDURE:

Candidates must apply on adum.fr (Reference 45782). Additionally, please send a motivation letter with a CV and the name of at least one references to willy.bienvenut@universite-paris-saclay.fr

PROJECT

Protein homeostasis (or proteostasis) is crucial to finely tune the abundance of the proteins in a cell by maintaining the equilibrium between protein synthesis and degradation, thus allowing an efficient biological activity [1]. The associated processes to maintain this equilibrium are constantly in action during organism's development [2] or responses to environmental stress [3]. Proteostasis is a key element among the molecular mechanisms required to maintain cell vital functions through tight regulations from gene transcription to post-translational proteins modifications. It is also responsible for the moderate correlation repeatedly observed between the abundance of proteins and the level of the corresponding transcripts [2]. The protein turnover rate (PTR) is a main parameter of proteostasis and it is usually associated to protein synthesis (K_s) and degradation (K_d) rates. Then, the accurate measurements of these kinetics constants, at the proteome level, are required to better understand the mechanisms of proteostasis regulations and their impact on the development or responses to environmental stresses.

PTR measurement methodologies based on pulse SILAC metabolic labelling are now frequently used for unicellular organisms to small animals model [4] but are not compatible with autotroph species like plants. Hence, this is a great difficulty to shed a new light on the mechanisms regulating plant protein abundance. The Millar group (Uni. West. Australia) [5-7] had some success with a method based on suboptimal ¹⁵N metabolic labelling to define the K_d / K_s for a few hundreds of proteins [5]. Unfortunately, this methodology remains difficult to handle due to the complexity of the experimental design and the lack of integrated data processing tools that severely hampered its implementation.

The main objectives of this project are **to develop an alternative metabolic labelling strategy** for large scale PTR measurement then, to **apply it on maize to evaluate the impact of genetic variability [8] on plant proteostasis**. We propose to setup a methodology based on **low** ¹⁵N **metabolic labelling** in plant combined with **standard proteomics approach** to determine **proteins synthesis and degradation constants at the proteome level**. This novel approach takes advantage of recent **improvements in sensitivity and accuracy of the mass spectrometers** to measure the peptide isotopic distribution [9]. Then, **post-acquisition data-processing** will take advantage of our **locally developed proteomics tools** [10, 11] to determine the K_s and K_d for the characterised proteoforms. This development and the proposed application will provide a novel element in multiomics investigations to better the regulation mechanisms of protein abundance and how they affect plant phenotypes.

The detailed version of this project is available on the adum.fr web site (reference 45782)

REFERENCES

1. Orosa B, Ustun S, Calderon Villalobos LIA, Genschik P, Gibbs D, Holdsworth MJ, Isono E, Lois M, Trujillo M, Sadanandom A: **Plant proteostasis - shaping the proteome: a research community**

aiming to understand molecular mechanisms that control protein abundance. *New Phytol* 2020, **227**(4):1028-1033.

- Belouah I, Nazaret C, Petriacq P, Prigent S, Benard C, Mengin V, Blein-Nicolas M, Denton AK, Balliau T, Auge S *et al*: Modeling Protein Destiny in Developing Fruit. *Plant Physiol* 2019, 180(3):1709-1724.
- 3. Chen Q, Shinozaki D, Luo J, Pottier M, Have M, Marmagne A, Reisdorf-Cren M, Chardon F, Thomine S, Yoshimoto K *et al*: **Autophagy and Nutrients Management in Plants**. *Cells* 2019, **8**(11).
- 4. Beller NC, Hummon AB: Advances in stable isotope labeling: dynamic labeling for spatial and temporal proteomic analysis. *Mol Omics* 2022, **18**(7):579-590.
- 5. Li L, Nelson CJ, Trosch J, Castleden I, Huang S, Millar AH: **Protein Degradation Rate in Arabidopsis thaliana Leaf Growth and Development**. *Plant Cell* 2017, **29**(2):207-228.
- 6. Nelson CJ, Alexova R, Jacoby RP, Millar AH: Proteins with high turnover rate in barley leaves estimated by proteome analysis combined with in planta isotope labeling. *Plant Physiol* 2014, 166(1):91-108.
- 7. Li L, Nelson CJ, Solheim C, Whelan J, Millar AH: **Determining degradation and synthesis rates of** arabidopsis proteins using the kinetics of progressive 15N labeling of two-dimensional gelseparated protein spots. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2012, **11**(6):M111 010025.
- Blein-Nicolas M, Negro SS, Balliau T, Welcker C, Cabrera-Bosquet L, Nicolas SD, Charcosset A, Zivy M: A systems genetics approach reveals environment-dependent associations between SNPs, protein coexpression, and drought-related traits in maize. *Genome Res* 2020, **30**(11):1593-1604.
- Senecaut N, Alves G, Weisser H, Lignieres L, Terrier S, Yang-Crosson L, Poulain P, Lelandais G, Yu YK, Camadro JM: Novel Insights into Quantitative Proteomics from an Innovative Bottom-Up Simple Light Isotope Metabolic (bSLIM) Labeling Data Processing Strategy. J Proteome Res 2021, 20(3):1476-1487.
- 10. Langella O, Valot B, Balliau T, Blein-Nicolas M, Bonhomme L, Zivy M: X!TandemPipeline: A Tool to Manage Sequence Redundancy for Protein Inference and Phosphosite Identification. J Proteome Res 2017, 16(2):494-503.
- 11. Valot B, Langella O, Nano E, Zivy M: MassChroQ: a versatile tool for mass spectrometry quantification. *Proteomics* 2011, **11**(17):3572-3577.